

## BOND, SCHOENECK & KING, PLLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW • NEW YORK FLORIDA KANSAS

ARTHUR J. SIEGEL Direct: 518-533-3211 asiegei@bsk.com

January 13, 2005

## VIA FACSIMILE

Hon. David R. Homer Magistrate Judge United States District Court Northern District of New York James T. Foley U.S. Courthouse 445 Broadway Albany, NY 12207-2974

Rc:

Pimsner v. Nexen Tire Corporation, et al.

Case No: 03-CV-1373

## Dear Judge Homer:

We request your guidance with respect to the Uniform Pre-Trial Scheduling Order in effect in the above matter. It is our understanding that in the event a dispositive motion is made, for which the current deadline is February 23, 2005, the pre-trial submissions under Paragraph 11 would not be due until after the dispositive motions are decided. This would permit the parties to refine their pre-trial submission consistent with any decision rendered. It is currently our assumption that this is a correct interpretation of the order.

If the Uniform Pre-Trial Order is interpreted as requiring pre-trial submissions 30 days before February 16, 2005, even if dispositive motions are made, the depositions pursuant to your most recent order will have not been completed, much less transcribed. This would make it impossible to submit deposition excerpts, witness lists and exhibits, as required under the order.

Thank you for any clarification you can provide to us.

Hon. David R. Homer January 13, 2005 Page 2

Respectfully submitted,

BOND, SCHOENECK & KING, PLLC

auch Sieg C

AJS/smc Enclosures

cc:

Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker,

LLP (Attention: Michael H. Bai, Esq. via

facsimile 212-490-3038)

Simon & Luke LLP (Attention: John Ramsey,

Esq. via facsimile 713-335-4949)

The Uniform Pretual Scheduling Onder is hereby amended to the limited extent that pretrial submissions shall be fuled and served on or before May 1, 2005 unless of thewire ordered by the district court. So ordered.

11-5. M. J. 1/14/05